**FULL PAPER** 

### **Total Synthesis of Gambierol: Subunit Coupling and Completion**

### Henry W. B. Johnson, Utpal Majumder, and Jon D. Rainier\*<sup>[a]</sup>

**Abstract:** The preceding manuscript detailed our synthesis of the gambierol A–C and F–H ring precursors. Reported herein is a description of the coupling of the two precursors and the conversion of the coupled material into gambierol. Coupling of the subunits involved ester formation, enol ether RCM, and mixed thioketal formation

and reduction. By employing this strategy we were able to bring highly advanced subunits into the coupling and, as a result, we were able to minimize

**Keywords:** gambierol • glycosides • metathesis • natural products • total synthesis

the number of post-coupling transformations required to complete gambierol. At the completion of the synthesis, we generated 7.5 mg (1.5% overall yield) of (-)-gambierol in 44 steps (longest linear sequence).

#### Introduction

As mentioned in the preceding manuscript, gambierol is a member of the marine ladder toxin family and was isolated by Yasumoto and co-workers from cultured Gambierdiscus toxicus (GI-1 strain).<sup>[1,2]</sup> As it is not available in any significant quantities from the natural source,<sup>[3]</sup> synthesis is probably the most effective method to uncover its biological properties and the only way to carry out SAR work.<sup>[4]</sup> When we became interested in the chemical synthesis of gambierol we had two criteria that we considered: First, any approach to its synthesis needed to be efficient enough to enable us to carry out structure activity work to gain better insight into gambierol's biological target(s). Equally critical to us was that the approach employ novel coupling chemistry that once developed might prove to be beneficial for future work in the ladder toxin/polyether area. With this in mind, we opted to pursue an approach that would utilize enol etherolefin ring-closing metathesis (RCM) chemistry in subunit coupling that would result in the generation of the D-ring.<sup>[5]</sup> If successful, this would set the stage for well-precedented ketal reduction chemistry to the E-ring.<sup>[6]</sup> Subsequently, in-

 [a] H. W. B. Johnson, Dr. U. Majumder, Prof. J. D. Rainier University of Utah, Department of Chemistry 315 South 1400 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112 (USA) Fax: (+1)801-581-8433 E-mail: rainier@chem.utah.edu

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.chemeurj.org/ or from the author.

corporation of the H-ring side chain would result in the synthesis of gambierol.

### **Results and Discussion**

From our perspective, the strategy outlined above was advantageous in that: a) esterification would be employed to couple the two subunits (i.e.,  $4 + 5 \rightarrow 3$ ); b) the enol ether-olefin RCM chemistry would be used to generate the presumably easier to form six-membered D-ring  $(3 \rightarrow 2)$ ; c) the more difficult seven-membered E-ring would come from a cyclization and ketal reduction sequence  $(2 \rightarrow 1)$ ; d) in principle, the H-ring olefin would be compatible with this strategy and thus reduce the number of post-coupling transformations. The preceding manuscript detailed our synthesis of the gambierol A-C and F-H ring precursors (Scheme 1).<sup>[7]</sup> Reported herein is a description of the coupling of the two precursors and the conversion of the coupled material into gambierol.

**Subunit coupling—1st Generation strategy**: Our efforts to couple the A–C and F–H precursors began with the generation of **8** from the esterification of **7** with **6**. A two-step enol ether–olefin RCM reaction using the Grubbs II catalyst to effect ring-closure was used to generate dihydropyran **10** (Scheme 2).<sup>[8]</sup> Oxidation of the cyclic enol ether by using dimethyl dioxirane (DMDO) and directed reduction using DIBAL-H provided the corresponding secondary alcohol as a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers.<sup>[8]</sup> Of note is that the use of hydroboration, oxidation on **10** resulted in the competitive

Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 00, 0-0

© 2005 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

AA, Weinheim **Science** These are not the final page numbers!





reduction of the H-ring olefin.<sup>[9]</sup> That the reaction gave a mixture of C(17) diastereomers favoring the undesired  $\alpha$ -isomer was not a problem; we took advantage of the thermodynamic stability of the desired C(17)  $\beta$ -stereochemistry by oxidizing the C(16) alcohol and equilibrating the C(17) stereocenter to give **11**.<sup>[10]</sup> Equilibration resulted in a 4:1 mixture of isomers that could be separated and recycled.



Scheme 2. a) DCC, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, RT (90%); b) TiCl<sub>4</sub>, TMEDA, THF, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, Zn, PbCl<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>; c) **9** (20 mol%) (75%, two steps); d) DMDO (acetone free), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -65°C to RT; DIBAl-H, -65°C (80%, 3:1  $\alpha/\beta$  mixture); e) TPAP, NMO (80%); f) DBU, PhH, 80°C (100%,  $\beta/\alpha$  4:1); TMEDA: tetramethylethylenediamine, TPAP: tetrapropylammonium perruthenate.

With **11** in hand, it remained to form the E-ring. Unfortunately, all attempts to affect the cyclization of **11** were unsuccessful [Eq. (1)]. Included were attempts to generate the corresponding mixed thioketal through the use of EtSH and various acids and the generation of the cyclic ether directly through the use of BiBr<sub>3</sub> and Et<sub>3</sub>SiH or TMSOTf and Ph<sub>2</sub>MeSiH.<sup>[11,12]</sup> Based upon the lack of olefinic protons in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of recovered samples we believe that the H-ring olefin was undergoing competitive decomposition under the reaction conditions.



In an attempt to avoid the olefin decomposition problem, we examined the corresponding C(28)-C(29) saturated substrate. Although somewhat less than ideal in that the use of this substrate would require that the olefin be introduced post-coupling, at the very least these experiments would enable us to determine the overall feasibility of the approach. With this in mind, coupling, metathesis, and oxidation/reduction was carried out as described previously to give **15** [Eq. (2)].<sup>[13]</sup> Subjecting **15** to a variety of conditions to generate the corresponding O,S-ketal all failed.<sup>[14]</sup> The main products were either the acyclic dithiane **16** or decomposition when attempts were made to push the reaction. Clearly the use of the C(21) tertiary alcohol as a nucleophile to generate gambierol's E-ring was problematic in our hands.



AgCIO<sub>4</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 3 Å MS, silica gel, CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>

From the efforts described above, it was clear that an alternate coupling protocol was needed. Because of our continued belief that it could become a highly efficient means of generating polycyclic ethers, we opted to continue to



## **FULL PAPER**

pursue an enol ether–olefin RCM strategy (Scheme 3). However, instead of employing metathesis to generate the "easier" D-ring, we would use it to generate the seven-membered E-ring. Subsequently, a ketal cyclization and reduction sequence would be employed to generate the D-ring.



Scheme 3.

To examine this approach, our syntheses of both the A–C and F–H subunits required modification. The generation of the A–C substrate 23 was carried out from 21 according to the sequence of reactions illustrated in Scheme 4. Exchange of the C(1) benzyl ether for a TBDPS ether and selective acid catalyzed hydrolysis of the primary TIPS ether in the presence of the secondary TIPS ether and primary TBDPS ether gave 22 after bis-TES ether formation. Selective hydrolysis of the primary TES ether and oxidation provided coupling precursor 23.



Scheme 4. a) LiDBB, THF, -78 to -40 °C (80%); b) TBDPSCl, NEt<sub>3</sub>, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C (95%); c) CSA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, RT (92%); d) TESCl, NEt<sub>3</sub>, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100%); e) AcOH, H<sub>2</sub>O/MeOH (97%); f) TPAP, NMO, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (97%); g) NaClO<sub>2</sub>, 2-methyl-2-butene, NaHPO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, *t*BuOH (95%); LiDBB: lithium 4,4'-di-*tert*-butylbiphenylide, CSA: camphorsulfonic acid.

The gambierol F–H precursors **30** and **31** were constructed according to the sequence illustrated in Scheme 5. Oxidative hydrolysis of the PMB group was followed by TPAP oxidation of the resulting primary alcohol. For reasons that will become clear (see below), we utilized both the terminal and the internal olefin containing substrates 26 and 27, respectively, as precursors to the E-ring. Subsequent to olefin formation, hydrolysis of the C(21) tertiary TMS ether also resulted in the hydrolysis of the C(32) TBS ether. Reincorporation of the TBS ether gave coupling precursors 30 and 31.



Scheme 5. a) DDQ, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (98%); b) TPAP, NMO; c) PPh<sub>3</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>; d) Ph<sub>3</sub>PCHCH<sub>3</sub>; e) CSA, MeOH; f) TBSCl, NEt<sub>3</sub>, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; DDQ: 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone.

With the precursors in hand, we examined their unification [Table 1, Eq. (3)]. Not surprising based upon our earlier work that attempted to use it to generate an E-ring ketal, esterification of the C(21) tertiary alcohols **30** and **31** with acids **23** or **32**<sup>[15]</sup> proved challenging. After considerable experimentation,<sup>[16]</sup> we found that the Yamaguchi protocol worked the best in our hands.<sup>[17]</sup> Important was that we employ elevated temperatures and, because the intermediate anhydride was not stable for indefinite periods of time, that the formation of the anhydride be monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.<sup>[18]</sup> When this protocol was followed, the coupled products **33** and **34** could be generated in  $\geq$ 90% yield (Table 1, entries 8 and 9).

We were now prepared to examine the metathesis chemistry to the E-ring. To this goal, our attempts to generate the acyclic enol ether corresponding to ester 33 were completely ineffective. Instead, we isolated a very small amount of cyclic enol ether 37 along with a mixture of products all lacking the terminal olefin [Eq. (4)].



© 2005 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers! 73

www.chemeuri.org

#### A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Table 1. Subunit coupling of gambierol A-C precursors 32 and 23 with F-H precursors 30 and 31.



[a] Condition A: 36 (6 equiv), NEt<sub>3</sub> (7.5 equiv), DMAP (7.5 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (-20 to -5°C); condition B: 36 (6 equiv), NEt<sub>3</sub> (7.5 equiv), DMAP (7.5 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (40 °C). [b] Progress of anhydride formation was monitored by 1H NMR.

In light of the steric environment about the ester in 33, it was not surprising that acyclic enol ether formation was problematic.<sup>[19,20]</sup> We decided to simultaneously increase the stability of the olefin and our chances of generating the acyclic enol ether by utilizing internal alkene substrate 34. Interestingly however, when 34 was subjected to the Takai-Utimoto protocol preferential decomposition of the olefin was again observed. The only identifiable products from this transformation were cyclic enol ether 37 and terminal olefin 33.

At this stage, we decided to attempt to optimize the formation of cyclic enol ether 37 by taking advantage of the propensity for the reaction of the presumed Ti methylidene from the Takai–Utimoto reagent with the olefin.<sup>[21,22]</sup> Mechanistically, the interaction of the Ti methylidene with the alkene in 34 produces one of two intermediate titanacyclobutanes (Scheme 6). The intermediate that leads to cyclic enol ether 37 has Ti oriented at the more hindered, "internal" position of the alkene (i.e., 38). The alternative "undesired" orientation proceeds through titanocyclobutane 40 having Ti proximal to the methyl group and leads to termi-

nal olefin 33. Based upon the conversions observed poor when 33 was independently subjected to the reaction conditions [Eq. (4)], we believe that the reasons for the low and capricious conversions in the reaction was related to the instability of terminal olefin 33 to the reaction conditions; it was being siphoned out of the metathesis pathway by undergoing a competitive non-productive decomposition. To overcome this and to improve the overall efficiency of this process, we proposed to employ a Ti alkylidene  $(R \neq H)$  rather than a methylidene (R = H). Reaction of an alkylidene in the "undesired direction" would simply result in the re-generation of the relatively stable substituted olefin (i.e., 34). Ultimately the alkylidene would react to give 38 and cyclic product 37 after its decomposition to 39 and cyclization. From a practical perspective, critical to this proposal was that the Takai-Utimoto protocol has been shown to be

amenable to the generation of a variety of alkylidenes through the use of different dibromoalkanes in its in situ preparation.<sup>[23]</sup>

We were very pleased when this hypothesis proved to be accurate. By subjecting  $\alpha$ -TIPS substrate 34 to the Takai– Utimoto ethylidene reagent that was generated from dibromoethane instead of dibromomethane we isolated 43 in 60% yield. Interesting is that this reaction also led to a substantial quantity of acyclic enol ether 44 [Eq. (6)].



Acyclic enol ether 44 could be converted into cyclic substrate 43 when subjected to the 2nd generation Grubbs catalyst but only when ethylene was added to the reaction. It 1

2

3

## FULL PAPER

these conditions also removed the C(32) TBS group. Gambier-

ol's octacyclic core was com-

pleted by subjecting the hy-

droxy ketone from 49 to Zn-(OTf)<sub>2</sub> and EtSH. In contrast to our attempts to generate the E-

ring, this reaction generated a

single O.S-ketal diastereomer without any degradation of the

H-ring olefin. Undoubtedly, the more facile cyclization to form

the D-ring is responsible for

this result. Reduction of the

O,S-ketal by using Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH then

gave the gambierol octacycle in

was important that 44 be converted into the corresponding terminal olefin prior to it undergoing cyclization.<sup>[24]</sup> Elevated reaction temperatures were required to avoid the generation of dihydropyran 45 from isomerization of the olefin and cyclization. With the ability to transform 44 into 43, our overall yield for the conversion of ester 34 into heptacycle 43 increased to a respectable 80% (Table 2).

(Scheme 7). The isomers were separated and the minor isomer (e.g. 50) was recycled to a 4:1 mixture of isomers by using imidazole and heat. Other bases (DBU) were much less effective in this reaction.<sup>[10]</sup> Following its formation, ketone 49 was treated with CSA to remove the C(13) TES group. As was seen previously in our synthesis of the F-H coupling precursor (see Scheme 6), it was fortuitous that



4 **9** (20 mol %), PhH, 80 °C, ethylene (1 atm);  $N_2$  purge, **9** (20 mol %), 80 °C 65 (20% 45) 5

D-Ring: With the E-ring finally in hand, we turned our attention to the reductive cyclization chemistry to the D-ring. To this goal, selective oxidation of the cyclic enol ether with DMDO followed by reduction of the intermediate epoxide with DIBAL-H gave secondary alcohol 48 as a 10:1 mixture of diastereomers [Eq. (8)]. We did not anticipate the facial selectivity in the dioxirane reaction as it is from the side of the C(21) angular methyl group. This phenomenon seems to be a general feature of fused oxepenes having  $\alpha$ -substitution as evidenced by our results with the gambierol H-ring and with bicyclic models from tribenzyl-D-glucal.<sup>[25,26]</sup>





97% yield.

Scheme 6.

It remained to attach the skipped triene side chain and remove the remaining protecting groups. To this end, we borrowed heavily from the work of Yamamoto and Sasaki.<sup>[2,27]</sup> Oxidation of the primary alcohol was followed by the conversion of the resulting aldehyde into the corre-

Oxidation of the secondary alcohol using TPAP gave ketones 49 and 50 as a 10:1 mixture of diastereomers

Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 00, 0-0

© 2005 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.chemeurj.org These are not the final page numbers!



Scheme 7. a) TPAP, NMO (93%); b) imidazole,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 40°C (100%,  $\beta/\alpha$  4:1); c) CSA, MeOH (90%); d) Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub>, EtSH (86%); e) Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH, AIBN (97%).

sponding diiodoalkene using a modified Corey–Fuchs addition reaction (Scheme 8).<sup>[28]</sup> Stereoselective reduction using Zn(Cu) couple,<sup>[29]</sup> global deprotection using SiF<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[30]</sup> and Stille coupling of the resulting triol with dienyl stannane **53**<sup>[27]</sup> provided (–)-gambierol. The spectroscopic and physical data for synthetic gambierol was identical to that reported previously. Impressive in this work is that only 12 postcoupling transformations were required to complete the synthesis from A–C and F–H subunits **23** and **31**, respectively. Overall, our synthesis involved 44 steps (longest linear sequence from D-glucal, 69 total steps) and resulted in a 1.5 % overall yield of gambierol.<sup>[31]</sup> Using the chemistry described we were able to generate 7.5 mg of gambierol that we are currently using in ion channel binding studies.



Scheme 8. a) TPAP, NMO (100 %); b) CHI<sub>3</sub>, KOtBu, PPh<sub>3</sub> (94 %); c) Zn/ Cu couple; d) SiF<sub>4</sub> (95 %); e)  $[Pd_2(dba)_3]$ , P(furyl)<sub>3</sub>, CuI, DMSO, **53** (85 %).

To conclude, this manuscript has described our total synthesis of the marine ladder toxin gambierol. This work has described a new subunit coupling strategy to polycyclic ethers. Critical to the success was the use of a titanium ethylidene in an olefin metathesis, carbonyl–olefination cyclization reaction. Current investigations include the exploration of the biological properties of synthetic gambierol and analogues as well as the application of this coupling strategy to other marine polycyclic ethers.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health, General Medical Sciences (GM56677) for support of this work. We would like to thank Dr. Charles Mayne for help with NMR experiments and Dr. Elliot M. Rachlin for help in obtaining mass spectra.

- a) M. Satake, M. Murata, T. Yasumoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 361; b) A. Morohashi, M. Satake, T. Yasumoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 97.
- [2] a) H. Fuwa, N. Kainuma, K. Tachibana, M. Sasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14983; b) I. Kadota, H. Takamura, K. Sata, A. Ohno, K. Matsuda, M. Satake, Y. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11893.
- [3] In Yasumoto's original isolation, 1100 L of fermentation broth resulted in 1.2 mg of gambierol.
- [4] Some SAR work has already been carried out, see a) E. Ito, Suzuki-F. Toyota, K. Tashimori, H. Fuwa, K. Tachibana, M. Sataki, M. Sasaki, *Toxicon* 2003, *42*, 733; b) H. Fuwa, K. Kainuma, K. Tachibana, C. Tsukano, M. Satake, M. Sasaki, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, *10*, 4894; c) H. Fuwa, H. Kainuma, M. Satake, M. Sasaki, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2003, *13*, 2519.
- [5] a) O. Fujimura, G. C. Fu, R. H. Grubbs, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 4029; b) J. S. Clark, J. G. Kettle, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 123; c) M. H. D. Postema, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 1770; d) J. S. Clark, O. Hamelin, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 380; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 372; e) A. K. Chatterjee, J. P. Morgan, M. Scholl, R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3783; f) J. D. Rainier, J. M. Cox, S. P. Allwein, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 179.
- [6] a) K. C. Nicolaou, C. V. C. Prasad, C.-K. Hwang, M. E. Duggan, C. A. Veale, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 5321; b) K. C. Nicolaou, C. K. Hwang, D. A. Nugiel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 4136.
- [7] U. Majumder, J. M. Cox, H. W. B. Johnson, J. D. Rainier, preceding manuscript, DOI: 10.1002/chem.200500993.
- [8] For other examples of this reduction see: a) J. M. Cox, J. D. Rainier, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2919; b) U. Majumder, J. M. Cox, J. D. Rainier, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 913; c) K. Fujiwara, D. Awakura, M. Tsunashima, A. Nakamura, T. Honma, A. Murai, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2616; d) ref. [7].
- [9] K. Tsushima, K. Araki, A. Murai, Chem. Lett. 1989, 1313.
- [10] K. C. Nicolaou, K. R. Reddy, G. Skokotas, F. Sato, X.-Y. Xiao, C.-K. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3558.
- [11] For an example of the successful use of BiBr<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>3</sub>SiH, see P. A. Evans, J. Cui, S. J. Gharpure, R. J. Hinkle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, 125, 11456.
- [12] For an example of the successful use of Et<sub>3</sub>SiH, TMSOTf see K. Suzuki, T. Nakata, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3943.
- [13] See Supporting Information for the generation of 15.

© 2005 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

- [14] We were also unsuccessful in our attempts to convert hydroxy ketone 15 directly into the octacycle using  $BiBr_3$ ,  $Et_3SiH$  or TMSOTf,  $Et_3SiH$ .
- [15] Generated in an analogous fashion to 23, see Supporting Information.
- [16] I. Shiina, M. Kubota, R. Ibuka, J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1822.

**www.chemeurj.org** © 2005 Wiley-VC

Chem. Eur. J. 0000, 00, 0-0

# **FULL PAPER**

- [17] J. Inanaga, K. Hirata, H. Saeki, T. Katsuki, M. Yamaguchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 1989.
- [18] If the reaction was allowed to proceed for prolonged periods of time we observed significant decomposition of the anhydride.
- [19] In substrates containing olefinic esters, we have found the steric environment about the ester to be important in determining the amount of acyclic versus cyclic enol ether product, see U. Majumder, J. D. Rainier, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 7209.
- [20] Attempts to generate either the acyclic or cyclic enol ethers using other alkylidene reagents (Tebbe, Petasis, Takeda) were unsuccessful.
- [21] The Tebbe reagent has been used to carry out related cyclizations:
  a) J. R. Stille, R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 855;
  b) J. R. Stille, B. D. Santarsiero, R. H. Grubbs, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 843;
  c) K. C. Nicolaou, M. H. D. Postema, C. F. Claiborne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1565;
  d) K. C. Nicolaou, M. H. D. Postema, E. W. Yue, A. Nadin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10335.
- [22] For a related transformation that utilizes the Takeda protocol, see:
  H. Uehara, T. Oishi, M. Inoue, M. Shoji, Y. Nagumo, M. Kosaka, J.-Y. Le Brazidec, M. Hirama, *Tetrahedron* 2002, *58*, 6493.
- [23] T. Okazoe, K. Takai, K. Oshima, K. Utimoto, J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4410.

- [24] Following the generation of the terminal alkene, ethylene had to be purged from the reaction flask with nitrogen in order to induce cyclization.
- [25] S. P. Allwein, J. M. Cox, B. E. Howard, H. W. B. Johnson, J. D. Rainier, *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 1997.
- [26] DFT calculations on model bicyclic oxepenes predict the same sense of facial selectivity as that observed experimentally. A. Orendt, S. W. Roberts, J. D. Rainier, unpublished results.
- [27] I. Kadota, A. Ohno, Y. Matsukawa, Y. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 6373.
- [28] P. Michel, A. Rassat, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 8579.
- [29] I. Kadota, H. Ueno, A. Ohno, Y. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 8645.
- [30] E. J. Corey, K. Y. Yi, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2289.
- [31] In comparison, Sasaki's synthesis involved 71 steps (longest linear sequence, 107 total steps) and 0.57% overall yield while Yamamoto's synthesis required 66 steps (longest linear sequence, 102 total steps) and 1.2% overall yield. Both syntheses involved 24 post-coupling steps.

Received: August 15, 2005 Published online: ■ ■ 1, 2005

### CHEMISTRY=

### A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

#### Natural Products -

H. W. B. Johnson, U. Majumder, J. D. Rainier\*.....

Total Synthesis of Gambierol: Subunit Coupling and Completion



**The completion of our total synthesis** of the marine ladder toxin gambierol is described herein using a coupling strat-

egy that employs enol ether–olefin ring closing metathesis as the key transformation.